Lipschitz Analysis of Noisy Quantum Inference as Phase Retrieval

Chris Dock

Advisor: Radu Balan University of Maryland

cdock@umd.edu

September 30, 2021

Image: A math a math

Overview



- 2 Examples
- 3 Quantum Tomography and $S^{r,0}$
- 4 Stability Analysis
- 5 Known results
- 6 Geometric considerations

New results

• • • • • • • • • • •

Lipschitz Inversion

Given: A nonlinear map $\beta : S \to \mathbb{R}^m$ from a metric space (S, D) to Euclidean space (\mathbb{R}^m, d) . We also assume $S \subset H$ where H is a Hilbert space. Would like: A left inverse $\omega : \mathbb{R}^m \to S$ that is globally Lipschitz.



Image: A match the second s

Approach

- Obtain an L-Lipschitz inverse $\beta^{-1}: \beta(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{S} \subset H$
- Observation Use Kirszbraun's Theorem to obtain an L-Lipschitz extension ŵ : ℝ^m → H.
 See recent constructible proofs of Kirszbraun [AGM18].
- If S is a Lipschitz retract, form ω : ℝ^m → S, ω = Π ∘ ŵ where Π : H → S is the Lipschitz retraction.



Image: A math a math

Spaces

In this talk we will take $H = \text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^n) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ to be our ambient Hilbert space, endowed with real inner product $\langle A, B \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} := \Re \text{Tr}[A^*B]$. Options for S include

Convex cone of PSD

$$\operatorname{Sym}^+_{\mathbb{C}} := \{ S \in \operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^n) | S \ge 0 \}$$

2 Low rank quantum states

$$\mathcal{M}_r := \{S \in \mathsf{Sym}^+_{\mathbb{C}} | \mathsf{rank}(S) \le r, \mathsf{Tr}[S] = 1\}$$

- **3** Pure quantum states \mathcal{M}_1
- One of low-rank mixed signature signals

 $S^{p,q} := \{S \in Sym(\mathbb{C}^n) | S \text{ has at most } p \text{ positive eigenvalues} \$ and q negative eigenvalues}

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

We denote by $\mathring{S}^{p,q}$ the subset of $S^{p,q}$ having exactly p positive and q negative eigenvalues. One can show

Theorem

For every pair of non-negative integers p and q

• S^{p,q} is a closed semi-algebraic set.

•
$$S^{p,q} = S^{p,0} + S^{0,q} = S^{p,0} - S^{q,0}$$
.

S^{p,q} ≃ C^{n,p+q}/U(p,q) where the quotient is by the p + q × p + q possibly indefinite unitary matrices acting on the right.

•
$$S^{p,q} = \{xx^* - yy^* | x \in \mathbb{C}^{n,p} \quad y \in \mathbb{C}^{n,q}\}$$

•
$$S^{p,q} = \bigcup_{0 \le s \le p} \bigcup_{0 \le t \le q} \mathring{S}^{s,t}$$
.

- $\mathring{S}^{p,q}$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $2n(p+q) (p+q)^2$.
- $\mathring{S}^{r,0} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n,r}_* / U(r)$ where $\mathbb{C}^{n,r}_*$ denotes the full rank tall matrices.
- $S^{r,r} \simeq T \mathring{S}^{r,0}$ where $T \mathring{S}^{r,0}$ is the tangent bundle.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

Semi Metric Structure on $\mathbb{C}^{n,r}$ induced by $S^{r,0}$

The identification $S^{r,0} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n,r}/U(r)$ can be made explicit via the quotient map

$$\pi:\mathbb{C}^{n,r} o S^{r,0}$$
 $\pi(z)=zz^*$

Given that, we find two non-equivalent classes of semi metrics on $\mathbb{C}^{n,r}$ $d_{P}, D_{P}: \mathbb{C}^{n,r} \times \mathbb{C}^{n,r} \to \mathbb{R}.$ The norm induced metrics:

$$d_{\rho}(x,y) = ||\pi(x) - \pi(y)||_{\rho} = ||xx^* - yy^*||_{\rho}$$

And the *natural metrics*:

$$D_{p}(x, y) = \min_{\substack{x \in [x] \\ y \in [y]}} ||x - y||_{p} = \min_{U \in U(r)} ||x - yU||_{p}$$

We have the following identity:

$$D_2(x,y) = \sqrt{\text{Tr}(\pi(x)) + \text{Tr}(\pi(y)) - 2||\sqrt{\pi(x)}\sqrt{\pi(y)}||_2}$$

Remark: it is a consequence of the Arithmetic-Geometric Mean Inequality that: [BK00]

$$\frac{1}{2}||\sqrt{\pi(x)} - \sqrt{\pi(y)}||_2^2 \le \min_{\substack{x \in [x] \\ y \in [y]}} ||x - y||_2^2 \le ||\sqrt{\pi(x)} - \sqrt{\pi(y)}||_2^2$$

That is D_2 is comparable to the Bures-Hellinger distance. $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box$ Chris Dock (UMD)

Quantum Inference as Phase Retrieval

Quantum Tomography

It is common in physics to model a system as a statistical ensemble over pure quantum states $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r \subset \mathcal{H}$ having ensemble probabilities p_i of being in state ψ_i . In the finite dimensional case, we may take $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^n$. In this case, the density matrix

$$o := \sum_{j=1}^r p_r \psi_j \psi_j^*$$

contains all of the knowable information about the system. For instance, the expectation of a given observable $A \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is $\text{Tr}[\rho A]$. Note that the collection of all such density matrices is precisely \mathcal{M}_r . The problem of quantum tomography is to infer ρ from noisy measurements of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Tr}[\rho F_1] \\ \vdots \\ \mathsf{Tr}[\rho F_m] \end{bmatrix} + \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\mapsto} \hat{\rho} \qquad \nu \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

In such a way that $||
ho - \hat{
ho}||_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C ||
u||_2$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

lpha and eta maps

It suffices to consider our observables $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^m$ to lie in $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{C}^n)^+$; if not we may simply define $\tilde{F}_k = F_k + \mu \mathbb{I}$ so that $\text{Tr}[\rho F_k] = \text{Tr}[\rho \tilde{F}_k] - \mu$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ large enough that all of the \tilde{F}_k are positive. In this case there exists $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n,r}$ and $f_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n,r}$ so that that $\rho = \pi(z)$ and $\tilde{F}_k = \pi(f_k)$, so that the problem of noisy quantum inference is equivalent to whether the following map is Lipschitz invertible:

$$eta: \mathbb{C}^{n imes r}/U(r) o \mathbb{R}^m$$

 $eta_k(z):=\langle \pi(z), \pi(f_k)
angle_{\mathbb{R}}$ (equal to $|\langle z, f_k
angle_{\mathbb{C}}|^2$ when $r=1$)

In analogy with the classical phase retrieval problem we also define

$$\begin{split} \alpha : \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r) \to \mathbb{R}^m \\ \alpha_k(z) := \langle \pi(z), \pi(f_k) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (\text{equal to } |\langle z, f_k \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}| \text{ when } r = 1) \end{split}$$

Note that we are relaxing our requirement that the estimate $\hat{\rho} = \omega(x)$ have unit trace. We do this because \mathcal{M}_r is not contractible when r < n, and so no Lipschitz retract $\Pi : Sym(\mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathcal{M}_r$ is possible.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

lpha and eta maps (stability)

The set of observables $\mathcal{F} := \{F_k\}_{k=1}^m = \{\pi(f_k)\}_{k=1}^m$ is called phase retrievable if the analysis maps α and β are injective.

By scaling, it is natural to analyze the Lipschitz constants of

$$\alpha: (\mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r), D_{\rho}) \to (\mathbb{R}^{m}, || \cdot ||_{2})$$

$$\beta: (\mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r), d_{\rho}) \to (\mathbb{R}^{m}, || \cdot ||_{2})$$

What we would like to show is the following:

Theorem

Assume $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_k\} \subset Sym_{\mathbb{C}}^+$ is phase retrievable. Then there are constants $a_0, A_0, b_0, B_0 > 0$ so that for every $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}/U(r)$

$$egin{aligned} &A_0 D_2(x,y)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^m |\langle \pi(x), \pi(f_k)
angle_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2} - \langle \pi(y), \pi(f_k)
angle_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2}|^2 \leq B_0 D_2(x,y)^2 \ &a_0 d_1(x,y)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^m |\langle \pi(x), \pi(f_k)
angle_{\mathbb{R}} - \langle \pi(y), \pi(f_k)
angle_{\mathbb{R}}|^2 \leq b_0 d_1(x,y)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Remark: The nuclear norm is the easiest to manipulate in this context, but of course d_1 and d_2 are comparable.

Chris Dock (UMD)

Local Lipschitz Constants

In order to make the problem more tractable we analyze the local Lipschitz properties of α and β :

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= \lim_{R \to 0} \inf_{\substack{D_2(x,z) < R \\ D_2(y,z) < R \\ \pi(x) \neq \pi(y)}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m |\langle \pi(x), \pi(f_k) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2} - \langle \pi(y), \pi(f_k) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2}|^2}{D_2(x,y)^2} \\ B(z) &= \lim_{R \to 0} \sup_{\substack{D_2(x,z) < R \\ D_2(y,z) < R \\ \pi(x) \neq \pi(y)}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m |\langle \pi(x), \pi(f_k) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2} - \langle \pi(y), \pi(f_k) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2}|^2}{D_2(x,y)^2} \\ a(z) &= \lim_{R \to 0} \inf_{\substack{d_1(x,z) < R \\ d_1(y,z) < R \\ \pi(x) \neq \pi(y)}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m |\langle \pi(x), \pi(f_k) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} - \langle \pi(y), \pi(f_k) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}|^2}{d_1(x,y)^2} \\ b(z) &= \lim_{R \to 0} \sup_{\substack{d_1(x,z) < R \\ d_1(y,z) < R \\ \pi(x) \neq \pi(y)}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m |\langle \pi(x), \pi(f_k) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} - \langle \pi(y), \pi(f_k) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}|^2}{d_1(x,y)^2} \end{aligned}$$

<ロト <回ト < 臣

Realification

Because $D\pi(z) : \mathbb{C}^{n,r} \to T_{\pi(z)}(\mathring{S}^{r,0}), D\pi(z)(w) = zw^* + wz^*$ is real linear but not complex linear, it is natural to view the local Lipschitz problem in terms of the realifications of the objects involved. Define the linear isomorphism $I : \mathbb{C}^{n,r} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n,r}$ with $I(A) = \begin{bmatrix} \Re A \\ \Im A \end{bmatrix}$ and the algebra homomorphism $j : \mathbb{C}^{n,r} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n,2r}$ with $j(A) = \begin{bmatrix} \Re A & -\Im A \\ \Im A & \Re A \end{bmatrix}$. Note that $j(A) = \begin{bmatrix} I(A) & JI(A) \end{bmatrix}$, $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{I}_{n \times n} \\ \mathbb{I}_{n \times n} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

We have, for example in the case r = 1:

 $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{iz\} = \operatorname{Ker}(D\pi(z)) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}(Dj \circ \pi(I(z))) = \operatorname{span}(JI(z))$

Sketch of argument



• For $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n,r}_*$ formulate a(z) and A(z) as

$$A(z) = \min_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{C}^{n,r} \\ ||w||_2 = 1}} ||\mathcal{L}_z \mathbb{P}_{H_{\pi,z}} w||_2, \quad a(z) = \min_{\substack{w \in T_{\pi}(z)(\mathring{S}^{r,0}) \\ ||w||_2 = 1}} ||\mathcal{L}_z D\pi(z)^{\dagger} w||_2$$

< 口 > < 同

for some linear operators \mathcal{L}_z and \mathcal{Q}_z .

- Show that $\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{Q}_z = \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{L}_z = \operatorname{Ker} (D\pi(z))^{\perp}$ is exactly phase retrievability.
- Argue by contradiction that this implies $a_0, A_0 > 0$.

Theorem (B13)

Let \mathcal{F} be a frame for \mathbb{C}^n . The following are equivalent

• \mathcal{F} is phase retrievable.

•
$$\pi(Ker(\alpha)) \cap (S^{1,0} - S^{0,1}) = \pi(Ker(\alpha)) \cap (T\mathring{S}^{1,0}) = \{0\}$$

•
$$span_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_kf_k^*z\}_{1\leq k\leq m}=span_{\mathbb{R}}(iz)^{\perp}$$
 for all $z\in\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}$.

• dim
$$span_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_kf_k^*z\}_{1\leq k\leq m}\geq 2n-1$$
 for all $z\in\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}$.

Note: If we define $\phi_k = I(f_k)$ then set $\Phi_k = j(f_k f_k^*) = \phi_k \phi_k^T + J \phi_k \phi_k^T J^T$, then we obtain two additional equivalent criteria via realification:

- span $\{\Phi_k | (z)\} = \operatorname{span}\{J | (z)\}^{\perp}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$
- dim span $\{\Phi_k | (z)\} \ge 2n-1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Image: A match the second s

Set $\Phi_k = j(f_k f_k^*) = \phi_k \phi_k^T + J \phi_k \phi_k^T J^T$ as before. For $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ define the real $2n \times 2n$ matrix $S_z = \sum_{k: \Phi_k I(z) \neq 0} \frac{1}{\langle \Phi_k I(z), I(z) \rangle} \Phi_k I(z) I(z)^T \Phi_k$. Set $S_0 = 0$. Then

Theorem (B13)

Let \mathcal{F} be a phase retrievable frame for \mathbb{C}^n . Then

• For every
$$z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, A(z) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\mathcal{S}_z) > 0$$

• For every
$$z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, S_z \ge A(z)\mathbb{P}_{JI(z)^{\perp}} = A(z)\mathbb{P}_{KerDj \circ \pi(I(z))}$$
.

•
$$A_0 = A(0) > 0$$

•
$$B(z) = \lambda_1(S_z + \sum_{k:\langle z, f_k \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = 0} \Phi_k)$$

• $B_0 = B(0) < \infty$

Remark: $\Phi_k I(z) = j(f_k f_k^* z) = j(\langle z, f_k \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} f_k)$. Hence $\Phi_k I(z) = 0 \iff \langle z, f_k \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

Set $\Phi_k = j(f_k f_k^*) = \phi_k \phi_k^T + J \phi_k \phi_k^T J^T$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ define the real $2n \times 2n$ matrix $\mathcal{R}_z = \sum_{k=1}^m \Phi_k I(z) I(z)^T \Phi_k$. Then

Theorem (B13)

Let \mathcal{F} be a phase retrievable frame for \mathbb{C}^n . Then

• For every $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, a(z) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\mathcal{R}_z)/||I(z)||_2^2$

• For every $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{R}_z \ge a(z) ||I(z)||_2^2 \mathbb{P}_{JI(z)^{\perp}} = a(z) ||I(z)||_2^2 \mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{KerD}_{i} \circ \pi(I(z))^{\perp}}$

•
$$a_0 = a(0) = \min_{||z||_2=1} \lambda_{2n-1}(\mathcal{R}_z) > 0$$

• For every $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, b(z) = \lambda_1(\mathcal{R}_z)/||I(z)||^2$

•
$$b_0 = b(0) < \infty$$

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

The following facts are key in proving stability of α and β respectively:

Lemma

•
$$D_p(x,y) = ||x-y||_p$$
 if and only if $x^*y = y^*x$ and $x^*y \ge 0$

• $d_p(x,y) = ||D\pi(\frac{x+y}{2})(x-y)||_p$ Where $D\pi(z) : \mathbb{C}^{n,r} \to T_{\pi(z)}(\mathring{S}^{r,0})$ is the differential of π . Moreover, when r = 1 we have

$$d_1(x,y) = ||xx^* - yy^*||_1 = ||\frac{x+y}{2}||_2||\mathbb{P}_{\textit{KerD}\pi(\frac{x+y}{2})^{\perp}}(x-y)||_2$$

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Geometry of $S^{r,0}$

Theorem

 $S^{r,0}$ is a disjoint union of smooth manifolds $\mathring{S}^{s,0}$, each the image of the Riemannian submersion $\pi : \mathbb{C}_*^{n,s} \to \mathring{S}^{s,0}$. That is to say if $D\pi(z) : \mathbb{C}^{n,r} \to T_{\pi(z)}(S^{r,0})$ is the differential of π and $\mathbb{C}^{n,r} = H_z \oplus V_z$ is the decomposition into the horizontal and vertical space, then $D\pi(z)|_{H_z}$ is a metric preserving surjection for every $z \in \mathbb{C}_*^{n,r}$. Moreover,

- $V_z = KerD\pi(z) = \{izS | S \in Sym(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$. Since $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n,r}_*$ we have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_z = r^2$
- $H_z = (KerD\pi(z))^{\perp} = \{Hz + Rz | H \in Sym(\mathbb{C}^n), Ran(H) \subset Ran(z), Ran(R) \perp Ran(z)\}$. Since $z \in \mathbb{C}_*^{n,r}$ we have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_z = 2nr r^2$.
- The Riemannian submersion π induces a unique Riemannian metric on $\mathring{S}^{r,0}$ with $g_{\pi(z)}(X_1, X_2) = \langle D\pi(z)^{\dagger}X_1, D\pi(z)^{\dagger}X_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$. This metric generates a geodesic distance which is precisely D_2 , and can be written explicitly as

$$g_{\pi(z)}(X_1, X_2) = Tr[\int_0^\infty X_1 \mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{Ran}(z)} e^{-\pi(z)u} X_2 \mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{Ran}(z)} e^{-\pi(z)u} du] \\ + \Re Tr[\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{Ran}(z)^{\perp}} X_1 \pi(z)^{\dagger} X_2]$$

As before we can lift to the realification, and after a bit of work obtain

$$\mathsf{Ker}(Dj \circ \pi(I(z))) = \{ JI(z)A | A \in \mathsf{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^r) \} \oplus \{ I(z)K | K \in \mathsf{Asym}(\mathbb{R}^r) \}$$

Following [BTY18] one can employ the following theorem:

Theorem

Let (\mathcal{M}, h) and (\mathcal{N}, g) be Riemannian manifolds and $\pi : (\mathcal{M}, h) \to (\mathcal{N}, g)$ a Riemannian submersion. Let γ be a geodesic in (\mathcal{M}, h) such that $\gamma'(0)$ is horizontal. Then

• $\gamma'(t)$ is horizontal for all t.

• $\pi \circ \gamma$ is a geodesic in (\mathcal{N}, g) of the same length as γ

To obtain the geodesic connecting $A, B \in (\mathring{S^{r,0}},g)$ as

$$egin{aligned} &\gamma_{A,B}: [0,1]
ightarrow \mathring{\mathcal{S}}^{r,0} \ &\gamma(t) = t^2B + (1-t)^2A + t(1-t)(\sqrt{AB} + \sqrt{BA}) \end{aligned}$$

The length of this geodesic is $D_2(a, b)$ where $\pi(a) = A$ and $\pi(b) = B$.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三)

Let \mathcal{F} be a frame for \mathbb{C}^n . The following are equivalent

Theorem

• \mathcal{F} is phase retrievable

•
$$\pi(Ker(\alpha)) \cap (S^{r,0} - S^{r,0}) = \pi(Ker(\alpha)) \cap (T\mathring{S}^{r,0}) = 0$$

- $span_{\mathbb{R}}{f_k f_k^* z} = {izS|S \in Sym(\mathbb{C}^r)}^{\perp}$
- $span\{\Phi_k|(z)\} = (\{Jl(z)A|A \in Sym(\mathbb{R}^r)\} \oplus \{l(z)K|K \in Asym(\mathbb{R}^n)\})^{\perp}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n,r}_*$
- dim $span_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_kf_k^*z\} \ge 2nr r^2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_*^{n,r}$.

Results for r > 1: Lipschitz inversion of α

Define the $2nr \times 2nr$ matrices

$$\mathbb{F}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Phi_{k} & 0 & 0}{0 & \ddots & 0} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \Phi_{k} \end{bmatrix} = \Phi_{k} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{r,r}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{z} = \sum_{k:\Phi_{k}/(z)\neq 0} \frac{1}{\langle \Phi_{k}/(z), l(z) \rangle} \mathbb{F}_{k} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{l(z^{1})}{\vdots} \\ \hline \frac{l(z^{1})}{l(z^{r})} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{l(z^{1})}{\vdots} \\ \hline \frac{l(z^{1})}{l(z^{r})} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \mathbb{F}_{k} \qquad \mathcal{T}_{z} = \mathcal{S}_{z} + \sum_{k:\Phi_{k}/(z)=0} \mathbb{F}_{k}$$

Theorem

Let ${\mathcal F}$ be a phase retrievable frame for ${\mathbb C}^{n,r}.$ Then

- For every $z \in \mathbb{C}_*^{n,r}$, $A(z) = \min_{||w||_2=1} \sum_{k:\Phi_k l(z)\neq 0}^m Tr[l(z)\Phi_k \mathbb{P}_{Ker(Dj\circ\pi(l(z)))^{\perp}} l(w)]^2 = \lambda_{2nr-r^2}(S_z) > 0$
- $S_Z \ge A(z)\mathbb{P}_{(\{J|(z)A|A \in Sym(\mathbb{R}^r)\} \oplus \{I(z)K|K \in Asym(\mathbb{R}^n)\})^{\perp}} = A(z)\mathbb{P}_{Ker(Dj\circ\pi(I(z)))^{\perp}}$
- $B(z) = \max_{||w||_2=1} \sum_{k:\Phi_k l(z)\neq 0}^m Tr[l(z)\Phi_k l(w)]^2 + \sum_{k:\Phi_k l(z)=0}^m Tr[l(w)^T \Phi_k l(w)] = \lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_z)$

•
$$A_0=A(0)>0$$
 and $B_0=B(0)<\infty$

- Analagous results for Lipschitz inversion of β .
- Relation of local Lipschitz constants to frame constants
- Determine good Lipschitz retract Π : Sym $(\mathbb{C}^n) \to S^{r,0}$ and Lips (Π) .

Image: A math a math

Thanks for listening! I would like to thank my advisor Professor Balan for giving me the opportunity to be here as well as the University of Maryland for supporting me.

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

References

- **[AGM18]** D. Azagra, EL. Gruyer, C. Mudarra. Kirszbraun's theorem via an explicit formula, arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.10288 (2018).
- **[BCE06]** R. Balan, P. Casazza, D. Edidin, On signal reconstruction without phase, Appl.Comput.Harmon.Anal. 20 (2006), 345–356.
- **BBCE07]** R. Balan, B. Bodmann, P. Casazza, D. Edidin, Painless reconstruction from Magnitudes of Frame Coefficients, J.Fourier Anal.Applic., 15 (4) (2009), 488–501.
- **[B12]** R. Balan, Reconstruction of Signals from Magnitudes of Frame Representations, arXiv submission arXiv:1207.1134
- [B13] R. Balan, Reconstruction of Signals from Magnitudes of Redundant Representations: The Complex Case, available online arXiv:1304.1839v1, Found.Comput.Math. 2015, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10208-015-9261-0
- **BTY18]** R. Bhatia, J. Tanvi, L. Yongdo. On the BuresWasserstein distance between positive definite matrices, Expositiones Mathematicae (2018).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

[BW14] R. Balan and Y. Wang, Invertibility and Robustness of Phaseless Reconstruction, available online arXiv:1308.4718v1, Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal., 38 (2015), 469–488.



- [BZ15] R. Balan, D. Zou, On Lipschitz Analysis and Lipschitz Synthesis for the Phase Retrieval Problem, available online arXiv 1506.02092v1 [mathFA], 6 June 2015; Lin. Alg. and Appl. 2016.
- [Bal15] R. Balan, The Fisher Information Matrix and the Cramer-Rao Lower Bound in a Non-Additive White Gaussian Noise Model for the Phase Retrieval Problem, proceedings of SampTA 2015.
- [BCMN14] A. S. Bandeira, J. Cahill, D. Mixon, A. A. Nelson, Saving phase: Injectivity and Stability for phase retrieval, arXiv submission, arXiv: 1302.4618, Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal. 37 (1) (2014), 106–125.
- **[BK00]** R. Bhatia, F. Kittaneh, Notes on matrix arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities, Lin.Alg.Appl. 308 (2000), 203–211.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- **[EM12] Y. C. Eldar, S. Mendelson, Phase retrieval: Stability and recovery guarantees, available online:** arXiv:1211.0872, Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal. (2014), .
- [HG13] M.J. Hirn, E. Le Gruyer, A general theorem of existence of quasi absolutely minimal Lipschitz extensions, arXiv:1211.5700v2 [math.FA], 8 Aug 2013.
- **[**PV19] J. Pitrik, Jzsef, and D. Virosztek. Quantum Hellinger distances revisited, arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.10455 (2019).
- [ZB06] L. Zwald, G. Blanchard, On the convergence of eigenspaces in kernel Principal Component Analysis, Proc. NIPS 05, vol. 18, 1649-1656, MIT Press, 2006.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三