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## Overview

(1) Introduction to the problem
(2) Lipschitz Embeddings
(3) Geometry of $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$
(4) Stability bounds
(5) Criteria for phase retrievability

## The Complex Phase Retrieval Problem: Variants

- Continuous Fourier: Recover $f \in \mathcal{B} \subset\left\{f \in S^{\prime}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \hat{f} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right\}$ from $|\hat{f}|$. Only possible if $\mathcal{B}$ is sufficiently restrictive - for example if $f$ is taken to have compact support or is supported in the half line.[9]
- Discrete Fourier: Recover $f=(f[0], \ldots, f[n-1]) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ from the (typically squared) magnitudes of its DFT coefficents $y[k]=\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n} y[j] e^{2 \pi i k j / n}\right|^{2}$.
- Separable Hilbert space: Take $H$ a separable complex Hilbert space. Recover $z \in H$ from $\left(\left|\left\langle z, f_{k}\right\rangle\right|\right)_{k \in I}$ where $\left(f_{k}\right)_{k \in I} \subset H$ is a frame for $H$.
- Finite Hilbert space: Recover $z \in H=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ from $\left(\left|\left\langle z, f_{k}\right\rangle\right|\right)_{k=1}^{m}$ where $\left(f_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{m}$ is a frame for $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
- Phase Retrieval with generalized frames: Recover $z \in H=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ from $\left\langle z, A_{j} z\right\rangle$ where $\left(A_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}$ is a generalized frame of Hermitian matrices (termed measurement matrices). Note that $A_{j}=f_{j} f_{j}^{*}$ gives the finite Hilbert space case.
In all such cases recovery is only ever possible up to an overall phase - that is to say modulo the action of $U(1)$.


## Applications

- Inverse Problem in Potential Scattering - Determine potential / surface structure from (typically $x$-ray or neutron) scattering matrix.[9]
- Thin film optics - Inferring dielectric permittivity $\epsilon(z)$ of medium from the frequency dependence of the ratio $R(k)$ of the strength of transmitted and reflected tangential components.[9]
- Coherent Diffraction Imaging - infer shape of object in imaging plane from the diffraction pattern it produces under a coherent beam.[5]
- X-ray crystallography - infer electron density function $\rho(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{i} \delta\left(r-r_{i}\right)$ of a single crystal cell from the measured diffraction pattern. [8]
- Speech recognition - the human ear is quite reliably "phase deaf," determining what has been said only from the magnitude spectrum of a signal.[4]
- Pure state quantum tomography - inferring the state of a quantum system (represented by a vector in a Hilbert space) from potentially noisy measurements.[1][7]


## Motivating Application: Mixed Quantum Tomography

A mixed state quantum system is modeled as a statistical ensemble over pure quantum states living in a Hilbert space $H$. The standard example is unpolarized light. In such cases, all of the measurable information in the system is contained in a density matrix:

$$
\rho=\sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}} p_{j} \psi_{j} \psi_{j}^{*}
$$

- $p_{j}$ - ensemble probability of being in pure state $\psi_{j}: \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} p_{j}=1$.
- $\psi_{j} \in H$ - a pure state: Given an observable (Hermitian matrix) $A$ with eigenpair $(v, \lambda)$ we have $\operatorname{Pr}_{\psi_{j}}[A$ takes value $\lambda]=\left|\left\langle v, \psi_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2}$. If we take $H=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $|\mathcal{I}|=r$ then $\rho$ is a positive semi-definite matrix of rank at most $r$ and having unit trace, we write $\rho \in S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \cap\left\{x \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \mid \operatorname{tr}\{x\}=1\right\}$. The goal of quantum tomography is to infer $\rho$ from measurements of a collection of observables $\left(A_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}$.


## Motivating Application: Mixed Quantum Tomography

The expectation of an observable $A_{j}$ in mixed state $\rho$ is

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\rho}\left[A_{j}\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{r} p_{k}\left\langle\psi_{k}, A_{j} \psi_{k}\right\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{r} p_{k} \operatorname{tr}\left\{\psi_{k} \psi_{k}^{*} A_{j}\right\}=\operatorname{tr}\left\{\rho A_{j}\right\}=\left\langle\rho, A_{j}\right\rangle
$$

By repeatedly measuring our observables and allowing the system to "relax" we may obtain these expectations to within a small error. Since $\rho \in S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ we may write via Cholesky factorization for some $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$

$$
\rho=z z^{*}
$$

Note $\rho$ is unchanged by $z \mapsto z U$ for $U \in U(r)$, so the problem becomes to stably recover $z$ modulo $U(r)$ (a "unitary phase") from $\left(\left\langle z z^{*}, A_{j}\right\rangle\right)_{j=1}^{m}$. In particular we would like the following map to be injective (and indeed lower Lipschitz):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta: \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m} \\
& \beta(z)=\left(\left\langle z z^{*}, A_{j}\right\rangle\right)_{j=1}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

A generalized frame $\left(A_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}$ for which $\beta$ is injective is called $U(r)$ phase retrievable.

## $U(r)$ phase retrievability

A generalized frame $\left(A_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}$ for which $\beta$ is injective is called $U(r)$ phase retrievable.

- As for $U(1), U(r)$ phase retrievability is a stronger condition than being a generalized frame for $\mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$.
- If $\mathcal{A}$ is a frame for $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ itself then it is automatically $U(r)$ phase retrievable.
- if $\mathcal{A}$ is $U(r)$ phase retrievable then it is $U(k)$ phase retrievable for any $1 \leq k \leq r$, in particular it is phase retrievable.
Thus the concept of being $U(r)$ phase retrievable is an intermediate between being phase retrievable for $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and being a frame for $\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. Another way to think about $U(r)$ phase retrieval is as low rank positive semi-definite matrix recovery. In analogy with the pure state case in which one is also interested in the stable recovery properties of the non-linear measurement map $\alpha_{j}(x)=\left|\left\langle x, f_{j}\right\rangle\right|$ we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha: \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m} \\
\alpha(z)=\left(\left\langle z z^{*}, A_{j}\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{j=1}^{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The problem

The problem is then to

- Identify appropriate distances on $\mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r)$ to use for stability analysis of $\alpha$ and $\beta$.
- Find out whether $\beta(\alpha)$ is lower Lipschitz on its range whenever $\left(A_{j}\right)_{j=1}$ is $U(r)$ phase retrievable.
- If so, provide a means of computing the lower Lipschitz constant for $\beta(\alpha)$.
- Give if and only if criteria for a given frame of observables to be phase retrievable.


## Lower Lipschitz with respect to what?

We define the equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ via

$$
x \sim y \Longleftrightarrow \exists U \in U(r) \mid x=y U
$$

and denote by $[x]$ the equivalence class of $x \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$, and by $\mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r)$ the collection of equivalence classes $\left\{[x] \mid x \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}\right\}$. We define $D, d: \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(x, y) & =\min _{U \in U(r)}\|x-y U\|_{2}=\sqrt{\|x\|_{2}^{2}+\|y\|_{2}^{2}-2\left\|x^{*} y\right\|_{1}} \\
d(x, y) & =\min _{U \in U(r)}\|x-y U\|_{2}\|x+y U\|_{2}=\sqrt{\left(\|x\|_{2}^{2}+\|y\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}-4\left\|x^{*} y\right\|_{1}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $D$ is known as the Bures-Wasserstein distance. Note for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ $D(\lambda x, \lambda y)=|\lambda| D(x, y)$, so $D$ is appropriate for analyzing the $\alpha$ map.
- $d$ scales like $d(\lambda x, \lambda y)=|\lambda|^{2} d(x, y)$ and is appropriate for analyzing $\beta$.
- $d$ and $D$ are not Lipschitz equivalent (they scale differently) but they do generate the same topology on $\mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r)$.


## Lipschitz with respect to what?

Both $d(x, y)$ and $D(x, y)$ are positive and symmetry follows from the fact that that $U(r)$ is a group. Owing to the compactness of $U(r)$, both $D(x, y)$ and $d(x, y)$ are zero if and only if there exists $U_{0}$ such that $x=y U_{0}$, that is if and only if $[x]=[y]$. Let $U_{1}, U_{2} \in U(r)$ be the minimizers for $D(x, z)$ and $D(z, y)$ respectively. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(x, z)+D(y, z) & =\left\|x-z U_{1}\right\|_{2}+\left\|z-y U_{2}\right\|_{2} \\
& =\left\|x-z U_{1}\right\|_{2}+\left\|z U_{1}-y U_{2} U_{1}\right\|_{2} \\
& \geq\left\|x-y U_{2} U_{1}\right\|_{2} \geq D(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof for $d$ is identical except for the fact that it employs the triangle inequality not for $\|x-y\|_{2}$ but for $\|x-y\|_{2}\|x+y\|_{2}$. That the latter satisfies the triangle inequality reduces to a fact about the analytic geometry of parallelipipeds in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, namely that the sum of the products of face diagonals on any two sides sharing a vertex exceeds the product of the third side sharing the vertex. We show that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\|x-y\|_{2}\|x+y\|_{2}=\left\|x x^{\top}-y y^{\top}\right\|_{1}$.

## Lipschitz Embeddings

We would like to embed the metric spaces $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r), D\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r), d\right)$ into $\left(\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right),\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$ in a (bi)Lipschitz way. Defining $\theta, \pi, \psi: \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \rightarrow S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$

$$
\theta(x)=\left(x x^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \pi(x)=x x^{*} \quad \psi(x)=\|x\|_{2}\left(x x^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

We note that the above are surjective and injective modulo $\sim$.

## Theorem

(1) $\theta:\left(\mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r), D\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right),\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$ is a bi-Lipschitz map:

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|\theta(x)-\theta(y)\|_{2} \leq D(x, y) \leq\|\theta(x)-\theta(y)\|_{2} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r)
$$

(1) $\pi, \psi:\left(\mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r), d\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right),\|\cdot\|_{1}\right)$ are upper and lower Lipschitz:

$$
\|\pi(x)-\pi(y)\|_{1} \leq d(x, y) \leq 2\|\psi(x)-\psi(y)\|_{2} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r)
$$

(1) For $r=1$ we have $d(x, y)=\|\pi(x)-\pi(y)\|_{1}$
(0) For $r>1$, there is no constant $C$ satisfying $d(x, y) \leq C\|\pi(x)-\pi(y)\|_{2}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r)$ (hence the use of the alternate embedding $\psi$ ).

## Lipschitz Constants

With these embeddings in mind we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}=\inf _{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \\
[x] \neq[y]}} \frac{\|\beta(x)-\beta(y)\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\pi(x)-\pi(y)\|_{2}^{2}}=\inf _{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \\
[x] \neq[y]}} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\left\langle x x^{*}, A_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}-\left\langle y y^{*}, A_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}\right)^{2}}{\left\|x x^{*}-y y^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}} \\
& A_{0}=\inf _{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \\
[x] \neq[y]}} \frac{\|\alpha(x)-\alpha(y)\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\theta(x)-\theta(y)\|_{2}^{2}}=\inf _{\substack{\left.x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \\
[x] \neq \mid y\right]}} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\left\langle x x^{*}, A_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left\langle y y^{*}, A_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2}}{\left\|\left(x x^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left(y y^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will show that in fact $a_{0}>0$ and provide a means of computing it for any $r \geq 1$. We also show, however, that $A_{0}=0$ for $r>1$ ! Thus the $\alpha$ map is not Lipschitz invertible for $r>1$.

## Geometry of $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$

To compute $a_{0}$ and $A_{0}$ we essentially need to linearize $\pi$. If $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ were a manifold that would be the end of the story, but it is only a semi-algebraic variety, so we need to understand the singular structure of $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ and whether the linearized problem suffices when "boundary manifolds" are encountered. We show that $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ has a Whitney stratification over the smooth Riemannian manifolds $S^{i}, 0\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)($ PSD matrices of rank exactly $i)$ for $i=0, \ldots, r$ having real dimension $2 n i-i^{2}$.

## Definition

[6] Let $V_{i}, V_{j}$ be disjoint real manifolds embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} V_{j}>\operatorname{dim} V_{i}$ and $V_{i} \cap \overline{V_{j}}$ non-empty. Let $x \in V_{i} \cap \overline{V_{j}}$. Then a triple $\left(V_{j}, V_{i}, x\right)$ is called $a-$ (resp. $b-$ ) regular if
(c) If a sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \subset V_{j}$ converges to $x$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $T_{y_{n}}\left(V_{j}\right)$ converges in the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{dim}} v_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to a subspace $\tau_{x}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ then $T_{x}\left(V_{i}\right) \subset \tau_{x}$.
(0) If sequences $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \subset V_{j}$ and $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \subset V_{i}$ converge to $x$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, the unit vector $\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) /\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|_{2}$ converges to a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, and $T_{y_{n}}\left(V_{j}\right)$ converges in the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}_{\text {dim }} v_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to a subspace $\tau_{x}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ then $v \in \tau_{x}$.

## Geometry of $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$

## Definition

Let $V$ be a real semi-algebraic variety. A disjoint decomposition

$$
V=\bigsqcup_{i \in I} V_{i}, \quad V_{i} \cap V_{j}=\emptyset \text { for } i \neq j
$$

into smooth manifolds $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in 1}$, termed strata, is a Whitney stratification if
(0) Each point has a neighborhood intersecting only finitely many strata
(1) The boundary sets $\overline{V_{j}} \backslash V_{j}$ of each stratum $V_{j}$ are unions of other strata.
(0) Every triple $\left(V_{j}, V_{i}, x\right)$ such that $x \in V_{i} \subset \overline{V_{j}}$ is a-regular and b-regular.

The point is that there is a compatibility between the stratifying manifolds - if you are in the tangent space of lower dimensional strata you are in a limiting sense also in the tangent space of higher strata. This gives the semi-algebraic variety more structure, and as we'll see in this case enables us to find what almost looks like a Riemannian geometry on the whole of $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ (even though it isn't a manifold).

## Geometry of $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$

We will stratify $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ as $\sqcup_{i=0}^{r} S^{i} i, 0\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, where $\Sigma^{i}, 0\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is the set of positive semi-definite matrices of rank exactly $i$.

## Theorem

Let $D$ be the Bures-Wasserstein distance. Then
(1) $S^{p, q}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is a real analytic manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(S^{\rho, q}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)\right)=2 n(p+q)-(p+q)^{2}$.
(1) $\pi: \mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r} \rightarrow$ S' $^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ can be made into a Riemannian submersion by choosing the following unique Riemannian metric on $\dot{S}^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ :

$$
h_{X}^{r}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left\{Z_{2}^{\|} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u x} Z_{1}^{\|} e^{-u X} d u\right\}+\Re \operatorname{tr}\left\{Z_{1}^{\perp *} Z_{2}^{\perp} X^{\dagger}\right\}
$$

Where $Z_{1}, Z_{2} \in T_{X}\left(S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)\right), Z_{i}^{\|}=\mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{Ran}(X)} Z_{i} \mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{Ran}(X)}$ and $Z_{i}^{\perp}=\mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{Ran}(X)^{\perp}} Z_{i} \mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{Ran}(X)}$
(1) $\left(\dot{S}^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right), h^{r}\right)$ is a Riemannian manifold with $D$ as its geodesic distance.
(0) $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ admits as a Whitney stratification $\left(\mathcal{S}^{i, 0}\right)_{i=0}^{r}$.

## Geometry of $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$

We will stratify $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ as $\sqcup_{i=0}^{r} S^{i} i, 0\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, where $\Sigma^{i}, 0\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is the set of positive semi-definite matrices of rank exactly $i$.

## Theorem

The geometry associated to $h$ is compatible with the Whitney stratification in the following sense: If $\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1},\left(B_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1} \subset \overleftarrow{S}^{p, 0}$ have limits $A$ and $B$ respectively in $S^{q, 0}$ for $q<p$ and if $\gamma_{i}:[0,1] \rightarrow \dot{S}^{p, 0}$ are geodesics in $\underline{S}^{p, 0}$ connecting $A_{i}$ to $B_{i}$ chosen in such a way that the limiting curve $\delta:[0,1] \rightarrow \overline{\bar{S}^{p, 0}}$ given by

$$
\delta(t)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{i}(t)
$$

exists, then the image of $\delta$ lies in $\overleftarrow{S}^{q, 0}$ and is a geodesic curve in $\overleftarrow{S}^{q, 0}$ connecting $A$ to $B$.
Another way to look at this is if $0 \leq q \leq p \leq r$ and $X=x x^{*} \in \overleftarrow{S}^{p, 0}$, $Y=y y^{*} \in \dot{S}^{q, 0}$ and $\gamma X_{1}, X_{2}:[0,1] \rightarrow \dot{S}^{p, 0}$ is the geodesic connecting $X_{1}$ to $X_{2}$ then

$$
D(x, y)^{2}=\min _{U \in U(r)}\|x-y U\|_{2}^{2}=\lim _{\substack{Z \in \mathcal{S}^{p},( }} \int_{\left.\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)}^{1} \int_{0}^{p} h_{\gamma x, Z}(t)\left(\gamma_{X, Z}^{\prime}(t), \gamma_{X, Z}^{\prime}(t)\right) d t
$$

## Geometry of $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ via $\mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$

We may view $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ as the image under $\pi$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$, and each stratifying manifold $\dot{S}^{i}, 0\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ as the image of $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times i}$ (the $*$ means full rank). This parametrization is surjective, but not injective owing to the ambiguity $U(r)$. We can compute the differential $D \pi(z)(w)=z w^{*}+w z^{*}$, its kernel (the vertical space), and the orthogonal complement of its kernel (the horizontal space) which maps one to one onto the tangent space of $\Sigma^{i, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$.


## Geometry of $S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ via $\mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$

The spaces $V_{\pi, x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r}\right), H_{\pi, x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r}\right)$ and $T_{\pi(x)}\left({ }^{\circ} r, 0\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)\right)$ may be computed as

## Theorem

Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r} \rightarrow \dot{S}^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ be as before and let $V_{\pi, x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r}\right)$ and $H_{\pi, x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r}\right)$ denote the vertical and horizontal spaces of the manifold $\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r}$ at $x$ with respect to the embedding $\pi$. Let $T_{\pi(x)}\left(S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)\right)$ denote the tangent space of $\dot{S}^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ at $\pi(x)$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V_{\pi, x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r}\right) & =\left\{x K \mid K \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}, K^{*}=-K\right\} \\
H_{\pi, x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r}\right) & =\left\{H x+X \mid H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, H^{*}=H=\mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{Ran}(x)} H,\right. \\
& \left.X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}, \mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{Ran}(x)} X=0\right\} \\
T_{\pi(x)}\left(S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)\right) & =\left\{W \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \mid \mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{Ran}(x)^{\perp}} W_{\left.\mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{Ran}(x)^{\perp}}=0\right\}}\right. \\
& =D \pi(x)\left(H_{\pi, x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(V_{\pi, x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r}\right)\right)=r^{2}$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(T_{\pi(x)}\left(S^{r, 0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(H_{\pi, x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r}\right)\right)=2 n r-r^{2}$.

## The tangent space Lipschitz bounds

In our effort to obtain or at least control the global Lipschitz constant $a_{0}$ we define the following local lower Lipschitz constants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}(z)=\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \inf _{\substack{x \in \mathbb{C}^{x \times r} \\
\|\pi(x)-\pi(z)\|_{2}<R}} \frac{\|\beta(x)-\beta(z)\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\pi(x)-\pi(z)\|_{2}^{2}} \\
& a_{2}(z)=\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \inf _{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \\
\|\pi(x)-\pi(z)\|_{2}<R \\
\|\pi(y)-\pi(z)\|_{2}<R}} \frac{\left(\|\beta(x)-\beta(y)\|_{2}^{2}\right.}{\|\pi(x)-\pi(y)\|_{2}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

As well as the following geometric constant

$$
a(z):=\min _{\substack{W \in T_{\pi(\mathcal{z})}\left(S^{k}, 0 \\\|W\| \|_{2}=1\right.}} \sum_{\left.\left.\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle W, A_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}\right|^{2}
$$

Where here $\hat{z} \in \mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times k}$ is such that $z=[\hat{z} \mid 0] U$ for some $U \in U(r)(\hat{z}=z$ if $z$ has rank $r$, and moreover the tangent space doesn't depend on the choice of $\hat{z}$ ).

## The tangent space Lipschitz bounds

Given $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ having rank $k>0$ define $Q_{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{\left(2 n k-k^{2}\right) \times\left(2 n k-k^{2}\right)}$ as follows. Let $U_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ be a matrix whose columns are left singular vectors of $z$ corresponding to non-zero singular values of $z$, so that $U_{1} U_{1}^{*}=\mathbb{P}_{\operatorname{Ran}(z)}$. Let $U_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times(n-k)}$ be a matrix whose columns are left singular vectors of $z$ corresponding to the zero singular values of $z$, so that $U_{2} U_{2}^{*}=\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{Ran}_{z^{\perp}}}$. Then

$$
Q_{z}:=Q_{\left[U_{1} \mid U_{2}\right]}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tau\left(U_{1}^{*} A_{j} U_{1}\right) \\
\mu\left(U_{2}^{*} A_{j} U_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tau\left(U_{1}^{*} A_{j} U_{1}\right) \\
\mu\left(U_{2}^{*} A_{j} U_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

where the isometric isomorphisms $\tau$ and $\mu$ are given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tau: \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k^{2}} & \mu: \mathbb{C}^{p \times q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 p q} \\
\tau(X)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
D(X) \\
\sqrt{2} T(\Re X) \\
\sqrt{2} T(\Im X)
\end{array}\right] & \mu(X)=\operatorname{vec}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Re X \\
\Im X
\end{array}\right]\right)
\end{array}
$$

where if $A \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) D(A)$ is the vectorization of its diagonal and and $T(A)$ is the vectorization of its upper triangular part.

## The tangent space Lipschitz bounds

## Theorem

- $\left(A_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}$ is $U(r)$ phase retrievable if and only if $a_{0}>0$.
- The global lower bound $a_{0}$ is given as $a_{0}=\inf _{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \backslash\{0\}} a(z)$.
- The local lower bounds $a_{1}(z)$ and $a_{2}(z)$ are squeezed between $a_{0} \leq a_{2}(z) \leq a_{1}(z) \leq a(z)$ so that in particular $a_{0}=\inf _{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \backslash\{0\}} a_{i}(z)$.
- The infimization problem in a(z) may be reformulated as an eigenvalue problem. Let $Q_{z}$ be as above. Then

$$
a(z)=\lambda_{2 n k-k^{2}}\left(Q_{z}\right)
$$

## Corollary

Fix any $U_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n-r}$ with orthonormal columns. We may compute $a_{0}$ as

$$
a_{0}=\min _{\substack{U_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \\ U=\left[U_{1} \mid U_{2}\right] \in U(n)}} \lambda_{2 n r-r^{2}}\left(Q_{\left[U_{1} \mid U_{2}\right]}\right)
$$

## The horizontal space Lipschitz bounds

An alternate method of controlling $a_{0}$ is to use the natural distance $d$. We define for $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ with rank $k$ the local lower Lipschitz constants

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{a}_{1}(z)=\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \inf _{\substack{x \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \\
d(x, z)<R \\
\operatorname{rank}(x) \leq k}} \frac{\|\beta(x)-\beta(z)\|_{2}^{2}}{d(x, z)^{2}} \\
\hat{a}_{2}(z)=\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \inf _{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \\
d(x, z)<R \\
d(y, z)<R \\
\operatorname{rank}(x) \leq k \\
\operatorname{rank}(y) \leq k}} \frac{\|\beta(x)-\beta(y)\|_{2}^{2}}{d(x, y)^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Unfortunately the rank constraints are necessary here - without them the constants would be zero. We also define the geometric constant

$$
\hat{a}(z)=\min _{\substack{w \in H_{\pi, s}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times k}\right) \\\|w\|_{2}=1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle D \pi(\hat{z})(w), A_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}\right|^{2}
$$

## The horizontal space Lipschitz bounds

Given $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ having rank $k>0$ define $\hat{Q}_{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n k \times 2 n k}$ as follows. Let $F_{j}=\mathbb{I}_{k \times k} \otimes j\left(A_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n k \times 2 n k}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j: \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 m \times 2 n} \\
& j(X)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Re X & -\Im X \\
\Im X & \Re X
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

is an injective homomorphism. Then

$$
\hat{Q}_{z}:=4 \sum_{j=1}^{m} F_{j} \mu(\hat{z}) \mu(\hat{z})^{T} F_{j}
$$

## The horizontal space Lipschitz bounds

## Theorem

- For $r=1 \hat{a}(z)$ differs from $a(z)$ by a constant factor hence $\inf _{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \backslash\{0\}} \hat{a}(z)>0$. For $r>1$ this infimum is zero and there is no non-trivial global lower bound $\hat{a}_{0}$ analogous to $a_{0}$ for the natural metric $d$.
- The local lower bounds with respect to the alternate metric d satisfy

$$
\hat{a}_{1}(z)=\hat{a}_{2}(z)=\frac{1}{4\|z\|_{2}^{2}} \hat{a}(z)
$$

- The infimization problem in $\hat{a}(z)$ may be reformulated as an eigenvalue problem. Let $\hat{Q}_{z}$ be as above. Then $\hat{a}(z)$ is directly computable as

$$
\hat{a}(z)=\lambda_{2 n k-k^{2}}\left(\hat{Q}_{z}\right)
$$

- We have the following local inequality relating $a(z)$ and $\hat{a}(z)$.

$$
\frac{1}{4\|z\|_{2}^{2}} \hat{a}(z) \leq a(z) \leq \frac{1}{2 \sigma_{k}(z)^{2}} \hat{a}(z)
$$

## The horizontal space Lipschitz bounds

## Theorem

(continued)

- While the fact that $\hat{a}_{0}=0$ makes clear that $a_{0}$ cannot be upper bounded by $\inf _{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \backslash\{0\}} \hat{a}(z)$, we can achieve a similar end by constraining $z$ to have orthonormal columns. Namely

$$
\frac{1}{4} \inf _{\substack{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} \\ z^{*} z=\mathbb{I}_{r \times r}}} \hat{a}(z) \leq a_{0} \leq \frac{1}{2} \inf _{\substack{z \in \mathbb{C N}^{n \times r} \\ z^{*} z=\mathbb{I}_{r \times r}}} \hat{a}(z)
$$

## Phase retrievability criteria

The last two theorems give criteria for a frame to be $U(r)$ phase retrievable.

## Theorem

Let $\left\{A_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ be a frame for $\mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $\left\{A_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ is $U(r)$ phase retrievable.
(1) For all $U_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}, U_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times(n-r)}$ such that $\left[U_{1} \mid U_{2}\right] \in U(n)$ the matrix

$$
Q_{\left[U_{1} \mid U_{2}\right]}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tau\left(U_{1}^{*} A_{j} U_{1}\right) \\
\mu\left(U_{2}^{*} A_{j} U_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tau\left(U_{1}^{*} A_{j} U_{1}\right) \\
\mu\left(U_{2}^{*} A_{j} U_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

is invertible.
(1) For all $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ such that $z$ has orthonormal columns, the matrix

$$
\hat{Q}_{z}=4 \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\mathbb{I}_{k \times k} \otimes j\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \mu(z) \mu(z)^{T}\left(\mathbb{I}_{k \times k} \otimes j\left(A_{j}\right)\right)
$$

has as its null space the $r^{2}$ dimensional $\mathcal{V}_{z}=\left\{\mu(u) \mid u \in V_{\pi, z}\left(\mathbb{C}_{*}^{n \times r}\right)\right\}$.

## Phase retrievability criteria

## Theorem

(Continued)
(1) For all $U_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}, U_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times(n-r)}$ such that $\left[U_{1} \mid U_{2}\right] \in U(n), H \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathbb{C}^{r}\right)$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-r) \times r}$ there exist $c_{1}, \ldots c_{m} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{1}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} A_{j}\right) U_{1}=H  \tag{1a}\\
& U_{2}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} A_{j}\right) U_{1}=B \tag{1b}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) For all $U_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ with orthonormal columns

$$
\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{A_{j} U_{1}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}=\left\{U_{1} K \mid K \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}, K^{*}=-K\right\}^{\perp}
$$

The second criterion is a generalization of the result in [3] which says that a frame $\left(\phi_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}$ for $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is phase retrievable iff $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\phi_{j} \phi_{j}^{*} u \mid j=1, \ldots, m\right\}=\{\lambda i u \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}^{\perp}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$.

## Other results in the paper

- We give a purely topological proof that $\left(A_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}$ phase retrievable implies $a_{0}>0$ (we do this before computing $a_{0}$ ).
- We prove using continuity of eigenvalues with respect to matrix entries that $A_{0}=0$ for $r>1$.
- We compute local lower Lipschitz constants for $\alpha$.
- We compute Lipschitz upper bounds $b_{0}$ and $B_{0}$.
- We show that our results reduce to those in [2] for the case $r=1$.


## Summary of differences between mixed and pure state case

| $r=1$ (pure state case) | $r>1$ (mixed state case) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Phase ambiguity is scalar $e^{i \theta}$ | Phase ambiguity is in $U(r)$ |
| $\left(z_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{1} / U(1)$ with $\left\\|z_{i}\right\\|_{2}=1$ | $\left(z_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n \times r} / U(r)$ with $\left\\|z_{i}\right\\|_{2}=1$ |
| cannot approach zero | can come $\epsilon$ close to dropping rank |
| $d(x, y)=\left\\|x x^{*}-y y^{*}\right\\|_{1}$ | $\nexists C$ st. $d(x, y) \leq C\left\\|x x^{*}-y y^{*}\right\\|_{p}$ |
| $\beta$ is bi- Lipschitz wrt. $d$ | $\beta$ is bi-Lipschitz wrt. $\left\\|x x^{*}-y y^{*}\right\\|_{2}$ |
| Only locally lower Lipschitz wrt. $d$ |  |
| $A_{0}>0, \alpha$ is bi-Lipschitz | $A_{0}=0, \alpha$ is locally lower Lipschitz |
| wrt. $D$ and $\left\\|\left(x x^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left(y y^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\\|_{2}$ | wrt. $D$ and $\left\\|\left(x x^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left(y y^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\\|_{2}$ |

## Thank you!

Thanks for listening! I would like to thank my advisor Professor Balan for giving me the opportunity to be here. This work was partially supported by the NSF under Grant DMS-1816608.
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